

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,133	10/29/2003	Cheng-Liang Chang	252011-1250	5523
47390	7590 12/28/2005		EXAMINER	
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HOSTEMEYER & RISLEY LLP			THOMAS, LUCY M	
100 GALLEI SUITE 1750	RIA PARKWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA,			2836	

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AK.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
	10/696,133	CHANG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lucy Thomas	2836	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. sely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 De	ecember 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5,6,8,16-18 and 23-3 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 37 and 38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-4,7,9-15,18 and 20-22 is/are objected. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	<u>6</u> is/are withdrawn from considerate of to.	ation.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)	∆ □ !	(DTO 412)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/696,133 Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-4, 7, 9-15, 18 and 20-22 are objected to because of the recitation of the "second threaded portion" on line 8 of Claim 1 should not occur before the recitation of the "first threaded portion" in line 11-12 of Claim 1. Accordingly Claims 12, 37 and 38 are also objected to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 37-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kogan (US 5,885,428) in view of Sinclair (US 6,116,990) and further in view of Gardner et al. (US 5,300,175). Regarding Claim 37, Kogan discloses an electrostatic chuck assembly 216 (Figure 2, Figure 3), comprising: base (unlabelled upper portion of 204 above 409) having a first and a second end surface; a ceramic element 404 disposed on the first end surface 205, 405; a pedestal 402 disposed on the ceramic element; a main body (lower portion of 204 below 409) disposed on the second end surface.

 Kogan teaches a through hole (see Figure 2) on the main body and a pushing element 210, but differs from that of Claim 1 since it is used for pushing the wafer. Kogan fails to disclose a first threaded portion on the pushing element and a second threaded portion

Art Unit: 2836

on the through hole of the main body. However, Sinclair discloses a chuck assembly, wherein screws or bolts 908 are threaded into the mating threaded holes 816 and are sequentially torqued so as to draw a gimbal shaft 810 into a press fit with a cavity 906 in the chuck (Figure 10, Column 15, lines 15-20). Sinclair further discloses a pattern of countersunk holes 818 provided on top of the gimbal shaft 810 to enable disassembly. Threaded jack holes 910 are aligned with the holes 818 to allow a threaded pushing element into each of the holes to provide a driving force to, after removal of bolts or screws 908, push the gimbal shaft 810 out of its press fit position within the cavity 906. Sinclair teaches that it was known in the art to use a threaded pushing element through a threaded hole to separate or disassembling two tightly fit structures without causing structural damage. Sinclair teaches that the pushing element disclosed in the invention is basically a screw with a screw head and threaded portion and retardant portions.

Neither Kogan or Sinclair teach providing a retardant layer on the pushing element, however, Gardner et al. teaches providing such a layer. Kogan, Sinclair and Gardner teach wafer handling equipment in the solid state device manufacturing art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the chuck assembly of Kogan with a through hole and a pushing element with threaded portions as taught by Sinclair and retardant portions to reduce friction as taught by Gardner to push the ceramic element and pedestal from the base to disassemble the chuck assembly without causing structural damage to the chuck assembly, as taught by Sinclair.

Claim 38 basically recites the elements of chuck assembly as recited in Claim 37 except that the elements are identified only as objects or device, not with specifically an electrostatic chuck assembly, as in Claims 37. Therefore, please see the rejection above as the electrostatic chuck assembly also falls within the category of these objects and device.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-4, 7, 9-11, 12-15, 18, and 20-22 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s), set forth in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on December 09, 2005 have been fully considered.

Arguments directed towards Claims 1-4, 9-11, 12-15, 18 and 20-22 are moot as the art rejection for these claims has been overcome due to Applicant's amendments.

The newly added Claims 37 and 38 are rejected. Claims 37-38 more broadly recite a chuck assembly or disassembling device, with less number of elements than the above-mentioned claims. Please see the rejection for Claims 37-38 above.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/696,133 Page 5

Art Unit: 2836

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lucy Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-6002. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on 571-272-2058. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LT December 15, 2005

PHUONGT.VU